REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The present amendment is in response to the Office Action mailed August 20,

2003, in which Claims 1 through 20 were rejected. Applicant has thoroughly reviewed

the outstanding Office Action including the Examiner's remarks and the reference cited

therein. The following remarks are believed to be fully responsive to the Office Action

and, when coupled with the amendments made herein, are believed to render all claims

at issue patentably distinguishable over the cited references.

In this specification, the first paragraph of page 3, the first paragraph of page 8,

second paragraph of page 9, line 9 of page 10, first paragraph of page 10, first

paragraph of page 11, and first paragraph of page 12 have been minor editorial

problem. In addition, the first paragraph of page 3, reference number 500 has been

deleted.

In amended FIG. 2, the reference number "840" is replaced with --870--, and the

reference number "950" represented the region, which is used to deal with the waste

gas... (Page 11, first paragraph, line 9-10 of the specification).

In addition to changes to the specification and to the drawings, Claims 1, 2, 3, 6,

9, 10, 11, 14, 17, and 19 have been amended. Claims 8 and 16 have been cancelled.

New Claims 21, 22 and 23 have been new added. Accordingly, Claims 1 through 7, 9

through 15, and 17 through 23 remain.

All the changes are made for clarification and are based on the application and

drawings as originally filed. It is respectfully submitted that no new matter is added.

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration in light of the above

amendments and the following remarks.

DRAWINGS OBJECTION

With respect to Paragraphs 1 through 3 of the Office Action, the Examiner

objected Drawings.

The Examiner is of the opinion that the drawings, FIG. 1 does not include the

reference number mentioned in the description. The Applicant has been deleted the

reference number 500 on page 3, lines 16. Furthermore, the FIG. 2 does not include

the reference number mentioned in the description. The Applicant has been amended

the reference number "840" with --870--. In addition, the reference number 900 used to

designate gas-extracting apparatus, and the "gas-attracting apparatus" has been

amended with --gas-extracting apparatus-- in page 8, line 22, and page 10, line 16.

Thus, Applicant has been amended the typing error in the FIG. 1 and FIG. 2, so that

there is no new matter should be entered.

SPECIFICATION AMENDMENT - IN GENERAL

In brief, the main change to the specification is included in the Embodiment,

which deleted reference number "500" after "on the monitor" in line 17 of page 3; added

reference number "750" after "gas supplier" in line 15, "900" after apparatus" in line 18,

replaced "gas-attracting apparatus" with "gas-extracting apparatus" in line 22, and

added "480" after "gas-stream" in line 26 of page 8; replaced "270" with "200" after "the

stage" in line 17, and added "250" after "wafer" in line 19 and 22 of page 9; added "400"

after "first gas nozzle" in line 10, and replaced "gas-attracting apparatus" with "gas-

extracting apparatus" in lines 15-16 of page 10; added "300" after "lens" in page 10; and

added "480" after "gas stream" in lines 8, 11, and 18; added "400" after "first gas nozzle"

in lines 9, and 15; added "450" after "second gas nozzle" in lines 9, and 15; added "250"

after "wafer" in lines 9, and 11.

These changes for consideration are consistent with the drawings as original

filed. It is respectfully submitted that the change is clearly supported by the original

drawings and description of the application, and therefore does not constitute any new

matter.

CLAIM AMENDMENTS - IN GENERAL

In brief the main substantive changes to the claims include the amended the

mistype "a" with --A-- in the preamble of the Claims 1, 9, and 17, and deleted recitation

"and is used to exhaust said gas" and "and is used to produce a attraction to remove

said gas" in Claim 1; deleted recitation "said first tube comprises a flow rate regulating

valve" and added recitation --a first flow rate regulating valve fixed on said first gas

nozzle-- in Claim 2; deleted recitation "said second tube comprises a flow rate

regulating valve" and added recitation --a second flow rate regulating valve fixed on said

second gas nozzle-- in Claim 3; deleted recitation "to exhaust said gas and comprises a

first flow rate regulating valve" and "and is used to produce a attraction to remove said

gas" in Claim 9; added --first-- before "flow rate regulating valve" in Claim 10; added --

second-- before "flow rate regulating valve"; added recitation -- after "to supply a gas", --

in said gas stream-- after "to exhaust said gas"; and added new claims 21 through 23. It

is respectfully submitted that these changes are clearly supported by the description of

the application, and therefore do not constitute any new matter.

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration in light of the above amendments

and the following remarks.

CLAIM REJECTIONS- 35 U.S.C. SECTION 112, 1ST PARAGRAPH

With respect to Paragraphs 4 and 5 of the Office Action, the Examiner rejected

Claims 1 through 20 as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claims

contain subject mater, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to

enable one skill in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connect, to

make and/or use the invention.

Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

Applicant has amended the typographical errors and other mistakes to make the

application comply with the enablement requirement.

Applicant respectfully submits that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. Section 112 has

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection are respectfully been overcome.

requested.

CONCLUSION

In light of the above amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully submits

that all pending Claims 1 through 7, 9 through 15, and 17 through 23 as currently

presented are in condition for allowance. If, for any reason, the Examiner disagrees,

please call the undersigned attorney at 248-433-7552 in an effort to resolve any matter

Appl. No. 09/931,016

Replacement Amdt. Dated: February 24, 2004

Reply to Office action of August 20, 2003

still outstanding before issuing another action. The undersigned attorney is confident

that any issue which might remain can readily be worked out by telephone.

Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this

case.

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas T. Moga

Registration No. 34,881

Attorney for Applicant

DICKINSON WRIGHT PLLC 1901 L Street, N.W., Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20036 202-457-0160

Dated: February 24, 2003

TTM/hs
BLOOMFIELD 46602-359 606689